

 

**CSP600**

**Faculty of Computer & Mathematical Sciences**

**F7 – PROJECT FORMULATION PRESENTATION FORM**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **STUDENT NAME** | WAN PUTERA NUR SYAFIQ BIN WAN JEFERI | **STUDENT ID** | 2020836988 |
| **PROGRAM** | CS230 | | |
| **SUPERVISOR** | ASSOC.PROF.DR. SURIYANI BINTI ARIFFIN | | |
| **PROJECT TITLE** | VIRTUAL BUDDY APP: CHAT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR UiTM STAFF USING PROGRESSIVE WEB APPLICATION | | |
| **PRESENTATION DATE** | 3 FEBRUARY 2022 | | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Assessment Criteria** | **Weight (W)** | **Score (s)**  **[1-10]**  **(refer to F7 rubric)** | **Marks (W\*S)** |
| 1. **Depth of Knowledge**  (Possess a clear understanding and able to explain the subject matter.) | 3 |  |  |
| 2. **Overall Organization of the Project Presentation** (Exhibit/Present the project in a clear, engaging and appropriate form.) | 2 |  |  |
| 3. **Use Variety of Presentation Materials** (Use several materials or media in presenting the project.) | 2 |  |  |
| 4. **Delivery Skills**  (Proper language used, speak clearly, loudly and at appropriate pace, effective eye contact and presentable attitude.) | 3 |  |  |
| **Total:** |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Comments** | | | |
| **Lecturer CSP600 (10%)** | **Supervisor (10%)** | **Examiner (5%)** |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of Supervisor/Examiner:** | **Date:** |
| **Signature:** | |
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**CSP600 - PROJECT FORMULATION PRESENTATION EVALUATION (F7) RUBRIC**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Assessment Criteria** | **Excellent (8-10)** | **Good (6-7)** | **Satisfactory (5)** | **Poor (1-4)** |
| 1. | **Depth of Knowledge** | * The presenter is clear and easily understood. * Shows depth of thought. * Able to answer questions in an intelligent manner. | * The presenter shows depth of thought in some areas. * Mostly clear with little confusion in the presentation. | * The presenter shows some understanding of the subject matter but little depth. * Somewhat clear with some confusion in the presentation. | * The presenter shows a surface knowledge only. * Lack clarity and is confusing. |
|  |  | * Able to answer questions. | * Able to answer some questions. | * Unable to respond to questions. |
| 2. | **Overall Organization of The Project Presentation** | * The presenter delivers an   excellent presentation with a smooth flow and provides good explanations and/or elaboration. | * The presenter delivers a   good presentation and provides explanation and/or elaboration. | * The presenter delivers a   fair presentation and provides explanation and/or insufficient elaboration. | * The presenter delivers a   poor presentation there is no presentation flow. |
|  |  | * Time is used wisely. | * Time is used wisely. | * Time is fairly used. | * Time limit is exceeded and/or the topics are not well covered. |
| 3. | **Use Variety of Presentation Materials** | * There is a variety of materials/media used. | * There is a variety of materials/media used. | * There is a fair variety of materials/media used. | * There is little variety of materials/media used. |
|  |  | * Presentation materials/media are of good quality and useful. | * Most of the presentation materials/media are of good quality. | * Materials/media are adequate with some questionable sources. | * Materials/media are inadequate with many questionable sources. |
| 4. | **Delivery Skills** | * The presenter speaks   clearly and is audible to the audience. | * The presenter speaks   clearly and is audible to most of the audience. | * The presenter speaks   relatively clear, but may not be audible to the back audience. | * The presenter does not   speak clearly and may not be audible to most of the audience. |
|  |  | * Grammatical errors are insignificant and pronunciation is very good. | * Relatively few grammatical errors and pronunciation is good. | * Some grammatical errors and some mispronunciation. | * Persistent grammatical errors and serious mispronunciation. |
|  |  | * Excellent eye contact. | * Good eye contact. | * Some eye contact. | * Very poor eye contact. |